BERNE CONVENTION ON LITERARY AND ARTISTIC WORK

Kohelica Nag[1]

Abstract

The Berne Convention, adopted in 1886, accepted in Berne, Switzerland, offers with the safety of works and the rights of their authors. It offers creators such as authors, musicians, poets, painters etc. with the skill to manage how their works are used, via whom, and on what terms. It is based totally on three fundamental standards and includes a collection of provisions deciding the minimal safety to be granted. The Berne Convention has been revised several times, frequently due to technological changes. Canada and 178 different international locations two have used current model of same, consequently protects all creators from the Berne Convention member countries. Although Canada is a member of the Berne Convention, the Convention has no prison implications in Canada. India has been the Member of Berne Convention seeing that 28th April, 1928 and has been forwarding the announcement as per Article II & III of the Appendix from time to time. The existing notification is in continuation of India's in the past position. The treaty states that if copyright exists in one of these countries, then this copyright is legitimate in all member international locations who are signatories of the Berne Convention. At existing there are 178 signatory nations out of 195 nations in the world today.

One of the fundamental concepts of the Berne Convention is that of "automatic protection", with capability that copyright safety exists from the time a qualifying work is constant in a tangible medium such as paper, movie or silicon chip. The Berne Convention presents that, at a minimum, copyright protection in signatory international locations need to prolong to "literary and creative works" which include "every manufacturing in the literary, scientific and creative domain, something can also be the mode or shape of its expression." In the United Kingdom and many of its former colonies including Ireland, Canada, Hong Kong, Australia and New Zealand, the precept of "fair dealing" covers a full-size scope of makes use of the place prior permission is now not needed. The thought of "fair use" covers sure makes use of that, on balance, are deemed now not to impinge on the rights of the copyright

[1] Student, KIIT School of Law, Bhubaneswar, Odisha

holder sufficiently, and are deemed to serve a sufficiently essential public-policy goal, that they are authorized except the authorization of the copyright holder. The Convention affords for “moral rights” i.e, the right to declare authorship of the work and the proper to object to any mutilation or deformation in relation to the work which would be prejudicial to the author’s honour or reputation. The Berne Convention makes copyright observe barring formality, barring registration. The Berne Convention additionally permits signatories to follow truthful use of copyrighted works in different proclaims or publications, as mirrored in the WIPO Copyright Treaty of 1996. This language can also suggest that Internet provider vendors (ISP) are no longer responsible for unauthorized communications between users.

Keywords- Berne, Moral Rights, Fair Use, WIPO and ISP.

CC BY-NC 4.0

INTRODUCTION

The countries to which this Convention applies constitute a Union for the protection of the rights of authors in their literary and artistic works. The Berne Convention served as a limitation on the local copyright laws for most of the under-developed nations, rather than a useful legislation[2]. The Berne convention was drafted, created and established by developed countries such as U.K., France, Germany, Belgium, Spain and Switzerland, without taking into notice the needs and situations of the developing nations [3] .in revision of Berne Convention, 1967 at Stockholm such a demand was put forth. This Protocol served as a benchmark for initiating some solutions and recognizing the problems of the developing ccountries[4].

The expression "literary and artistic works" shall include every production in the literary, scientific and artistic domain, whatever may be the mode or form of its expression, such as books, pamphlets and other writings; lectures, addresses, sermons and other works of the same nature; dramatic or dramatic-musical works; choreographic works and entertainments in dumb show; musical compositions with or without words; cinematographic works to which are assimilated works expressed by a process analogous to cinematography; works of drawing, painting, architecture, sculpture, engraving and lithography; photographic works to which are assimilated works expressed by a process analogous to photography; works of applied art; illustrations, maps, plans, sketches and three-dimensional works relative to geography, topography, architecture or science. It shall be a matter for legislation in the countries of the Union to prescribe that works in general or any specified categories of works shall not be protected unless they have been fixed in some material form.. Translations, adaptations, arrangements of music and other alterations of a literary or artistic work shall be

[2] Prashant Reddy T and Sumathi Chandrashekaran, 'Create, Copy, Disrupt: India's Intellectual Property Dilemas' (Oxford, 2017).

[3] Ibid Paul Goldstein, ' International Intellectual Property Law Cases and Materials' (2nd Edn, Foundation Press 2008) 158

[4] James Love, 'The 1967 Stockholm Revision of the Berne Convention Protocol, Regarding Developing Countries', Available at- Knowledge Ecology International < https://www.keionline.org/24962

CC BY-NC 4.0

protected as original works without prejudice to the copyright in the original work.It shall be a matter for legislation in the countries of the Union to determine the protection to be granted to official texts of a legislative, administrative and legal nature, and to official translations of such texts. Collections of literary or artistic works such as encyclopedias and anthologies which, by reason of the selection and arrangement of their contents, constitute intellectual creations shall be protected as such, without prejudice to the copyright in each of the works forming part of such collections. The protection of this Convention shall not apply to news of the day or to miscellaneous facts having the character of mere items of press information.

Limitation of Protection of Certain Works

It shall be a matter for legislation in the countries of the Union to exclude, wholly or in part, from the protection political speeches and speeches delivered in the course of legal proceedings. It shall also be a matter for legislation in the countries of the Union to determine the conditions under which lectures, addresses and other works of the same nature which are delivered in public may be reproduced by the press, broadcast, communicated to the public by wire and made the subject of public communication, when such use is justified by the informatory purpose.The author shall enjoy the exclusive right of making a collection of his works.

Criteria of eligibility for protection

The protection of this Convention shall apply to authors who are nationals of one of the countries of the Union, for their works, whether published or not or authors who are not nationals of one of the countries of the Union, for their works first published in one of those countries, or simultaneously in a country outside the Union and in a country of the Union. Authors who are not nationals of one of the countries of the Union but who have their habitual residence in one of them shall, for the purposes of this Convention, be assimilated to nationals of that country. The expression “published works” means works published with the consent of their authors, whatever may be the means of manufacture of the copies, provided that the availability of such copies has been such as to satisfy the reasonable requirements of the public, having regard to the nature of the work. The performance of a dramatic, dramatico-musical, cinematographic or musical work, the public recitation of a literary work, the communication by wire or the broadcasting of literary or artistic works, the exhibition of a work of art and the construction of a work of architecture shall not constitute publication. A

CC BY-NC 4.0

work shall be considered as having been published simultaneously in several countries if it has been published in two or more countries within thirty days of its first publication.

Rights Guaranteed

Authors shall enjoy, in respect of works for which they are protected under this Convention, in countries of the Union other than the country of origin, the rights which their respective laws do now or may hereafter grant to their nationals, as well as the rights specially granted by this Convention. The enjoyment and the exercise of these rights shall not be subject to any formality; such enjoyment and such exercise shall be independent of the existence of protection in the country of origin of the work. Consequently, apart from the provisions of this Convention, the extent of protection, as well as the means of redress afforded to the author to protect his rights, shall be governed exclusively by the laws of the country where protection is claimed. Protection in the country of origin is governed by domestic law. However, when the author is not a national of the country of origin of the work for which he is protected under this Convention, he shall enjoy in that country the same rights as national authors.

Authors of literary or artistic works shall enjoy the exclusive right of authorizing adaptations, arrangements and other alterations of their works.

- Moral Rights

  The term "moral rights" is a translation of the French term "droit moral," and refers not to "morals" as advocated by the religious right, but rather to the ability of authors to control the eventual fate of their works. An author is said to have the "moral right" to control her work. The concept of moral rights thus relies on the connection between an author and her creation. Moral rights protect the personal and reputational, rather than purely monetary, value of a work to its creator.The scope of a creator's moral rights is unclear, and differs with cultural conceptions of authorship and ownership, but may include the creator's right to receive or decline credit for her work, to prevent her work from being altered without her permission, to control who owns the work, to dictate whether and in what way the work is displayed, and/or to receive resale royalties. Under American Law, moral rights receive protection through judicial interpretation of several copyright, trademark, privacy, and defamation statues, and through 17 U.S.C. §106A,

CC BY-NC 4.0

known as the Visual Artists Rights Act of 1990 (VARA). VARA applies exclusively to visual art. In Europe and elsewhere, moral rights are more broadly protected by ordinary copyright law.

Article 6bis(1) of the Berne Convention provides that 'Independently of the author's economic rights, and even after the transfer of said rights, the author shall have the right to claim authorship of the work and to object to any distortion, mutilation or other modification of, or other derogatory action in relation to, the said work, which would be prejudicial to his honour or reputation.'

- Right of Translation

Article 8 states that authors of literary and artistic works protected by this Convention shall enjoy the exclusive right of making and of authorizing the translation of their works throughout the term of protection of their rights in the original works.

- Right of Reproduction

Article 9(2) of the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works in 1967. Article 9 of the Berne Convention states that-

(1) Authors of literary and artistic works protected by this Convention shall have the exclusive right of authorizing the reproduction of these works, in any manner or form.
(2) It shall be a matter for legislation in the countries of the Union to permit the reproduction of such works in certain special cases, provided that such reproduction does not conflict with a normal exploitation of the work and does not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the author.
(3) Any sound or visual recording shall be considered as a reproduction for the purposes of this Convention.

- Right of Adaptation

Article 12 of Berne Convention states that authors of literary or artistic works shall enjoy the exclusive right of authorizing adaptations, arrangements and other alterations of their works.

CC BY-NC 4.0

Article 15(4)(a) of the Berne Convention deals with indigenous or communal authors' rights. WIPO herein gives each nation permission to appoint someone to represent the indigenous author and "to protect and enforce his rights in the countries" that honor the Berne convention[5]. It states that in the case of unpublished works the place the identification of the writer is unknown, however the place there is each and every floor to presume that he is a countrywide of a united states of the Union, it shall be a be counted for regulation in that United States of America to designate the capable authority which shall signify the writer and shall be entitled to guard and put in force his rights in the nations of the Union.The provision tries to locate an writer on whom to confer rights when no person creator or creator is comfortably apparent. Accordingly, the provision seems pragmatically helpful, however the writer characteristic stays at the middle of the legislation.

PRESENT DAY RELEVANCE OF BERNE CONVENTION

Qimron v. Shanks[6] stated that It is important to note that Israel Copyright laws are adopted from Britain and came into force in the year 1924. Thereafter, as the law evolved, the rules with regards to moral rights of authors were also introduced. In the year 1981 provision of integrity, paternity of author were added, which further expanded the scope of moral rights. Israel became part of the Berne convention in the year 1950.The Court held that even though the scrolls were public documents, but Qimron hard work of 12 years of translating it, allows him to claim copyright. Therefore, court passed a judgment putting an extremely heavy fine on Defendants for infringing the copyright and moral rights of author.

The Chancellor, Masters & Scholars of the University of Oxford & Ors. v Rameshwari Photocopy Services & Anr.[7] The suit was filed before the Honorable Delhi High Court in the year 2012, by the publishers namely Oxford University Press, Cambridge University Press United Kingdom, Cambridge University Press India Pvt. Ltd., Taylor & Francis Group,

[5] Berne Convention, Case Western Reserve University, Available at- https://case.edu/affil/sce/authorship-spring2004/berne.html

[6]Qimron v. Shanks (2000) Me.L.Rev.Vol 52(2)

[7] The Chancellor, Masters & Scholars of the University of Oxford & Ors. v Rameshwari Photocopy Services & Anr. (CS(OS) 2439/2012), Delhi High Court (Rajiv Sahai Endlaw J)

CC BY-NC 4.0

United Kingdom and Taylor & Francis Books India Pvt. Ltd.The plaintiffs also filed an application for interim injunction to stop the sale of such unauthorized material and the Delhi High Court (Single Bench) granted the injunction and passed an order to stop such authorized sale by the photocopying services, until final decision is made on the case. The Defendants thereafter preferred an appeal before the Divisional Bench of the Delhi High Court and argued that the they are copying the information and printing it for educational purpose and therefore are protected under section 52(1)(a) and 52(1)(h) of the copyright act 1957. The Division bench[8] vacated the stay order with a reason that the distribution of copied course work is not violation of copyright law when the purpose or use of such information is for education and remanded back the matter to Single Bench for final decision. Judge Justice Rajiv Sahai[9] after carefully analyzing the facts pronounced the judgment on 16th of September 2016 in favor of Defendants, contending that replicated production of copyright work as per the instructions of the tutor for instructing students for term subject, falls within the exception of copyright law given under section 52(1) (h) of the copyright act, 1967. The above-mentioned section is completely in line with the guidelines of the Berne Convention, especially with its revision which took place at Stockholm in 1967, wherein Article 1 (e) restricts claiming of copyright protection, when such literary or artistic work is used for research, study or any in any field of education. the Plaintiffs filed an appeal, but withdrew from the case in 2017. Whereas, IRRO (Indian Reprographic Rights Organization) one of the parties which was impleaded in case at a later stage, filed an Special Leave Petition before the Honorable Supreme Court of India.The petition was refused to be admitted by the Honorable Supreme Court by declaring that the IRRO is was a only an intervener and that the main suit has been withdrawn by the original parties.

[8] De Coding Indian Intellectual Property Law, The Chancellor, Masters & Scholars of the University of Oxford & Ors v. Rameshwari Photocopy Services & Ors (Du Photocopy Case Spicy IP) December 2007, Available at-

https://spicyip.com/resources-links/du-photocopy-case assessed on 13 November, 2018

[9] Dr. Arul George Scaria, Delhi University Photocopy Shop Judgment; A Landmark in the access to knowledge Movement in India (September 2016) , Available at- https://www.livelaw.in/delhi-university-photocopy-shop-judgementlandmark-access-knowledge-movement-india/ accessed on 15 November 2018.

CC BY-NC 4.0

The judgment is splendid instance of how this worldwide convention, nicely adopted with the aid of the home felony machine is enjoying a essential function in fixing disputes of copyright even today.

CONCLUSION

The convention has been very profitable in addressing the problems of the intellectual property. The Berne Convention would have at is core three basic principles regarding the regulation of international copyright laws and protection. These basic principles are modeled on the basis of simplicity and minimal restrictions, so as to be adhered to without incident by all of the nations or countries in accordance with the Convention.

The first principle is basically that all countries will respect foreign copyrights and treat them as they do with their national copyrighted works. The second states that copyrights are inherent based on the creation of work and are not subject to registration or application for copyright to be for those rights and protection to be recognized. The third simply provides for an explanation regarding a term of protection instituted by the Convention. Aside from the three basic principles, the Berne Convention delineates basic rights to be observed of all copyrighted material and their authors or owners.

Almost every country which is a signatory has observed benefits and those nations which have not adopted the convention like Taiwan are unable to establish stable copyright laws. The research suggests that for these international locations where the Berne Convention and the Laws related with the convention have no longer been considered adopted face many challenges consequently encouraging piracy and putting them in a deprived role globally. It is vital to notice science and technological know-how has viewed a great deal amelioration, consequently bench mark legislations such as this convention is essential. On the different hand, if we appear at the world these days the consistent developing want and significance to enlarge in the subject of copyright legal guidelines can effortlessly be observed. In conclusion, therefore, the Berne Convention (1886) nations to be applicable for the safety of actually and creative intellectual property. **India** has been the **Member of Berne Convention** since 28th April, 1928 and has been forwarding the declaration as per Article II & III of the Appendix from time to time. The current notification is in continuation of **India's** earlier positon .India is a signatory, are given protection against any infringement of their Creative works in India

CC BY-NC 4.0

under the International Copyright Order, 1999. The courts in India have been pro-active for protection of Copyright of foreign authors and owners, which consists of book, art, painting, music, films, software and database etc.

Several functions served by the U.S. fair use doctrine are achieved elsewhere through specific exceptions. Because fair use instances cautiously check harms of challenged makes use of to markets for blanketed works and different authentic interests, the truthful use doctrine fulfils the 2nd and 1/3 steps of the test. Second, the U.S. truthful use doctrine has been constant with the three-step check seeing that the U.S. agreed to abide via the TRIPS Agreement when it joined the World Trade Organization (WTO) in 1994. Several tendencies in latest years, consisting of a proliferation of honest use and comparable bendy copyright exceptions in the global area and a fair-use-friendly provision in the Trans-Pacific Partnership agreement, sign a greater receptive mind-set internationally in the direction of flexible, open-ended limits on copyright's specific rights, in particular in mild of superb technological developments in the digital age. These traits enhance the paper's conclusion that the U.S. honest use doctrine satisfies the TRIPS three-step test, however sure latest criticisms. While we agree that it is achievable that a unique over wide appellate court docket choice making use of the honest use doctrine ought to be challenged, we argue that none of the latest reviews of honest use choices is sound or put the U.S. in hazard of now not complying with its worldwide obligations.

Berne Convention enforces a requirement that international locations understand copyrights held by using the residents of all other events to the convention. That means, Indian copyright regulation applies to whatever posted or carried out in India, regardless of the place it was once at first created. One of the matters that has been happening in copyright regulation is a gradual shift from being a frequent regulation challenge to a statutory one. There has usually been a federal Copyright Act, of course, however till recently, courts took it as an invitation to fill in the gaps as an alternative than an unyielding constraint on their actions. The World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) Copyright Treaty builds upon the current safety in the Berne Convention for the safety of literary and inventive works 1886, Paris Act 1971 and "the Berne Convention". The Berne Convention is the major global settlement in the subject of copyright and itself does no longer comprise a commonplace definition of the time period

CC BY-NC 4.0

"author". To date this has now not led to disputes nor is it anticipated that any such dispute will occur with the WIPO Copyright Treaty.

CC BY-NC 4.0